

# PIQUE

Newsletter of the Secular Humanist Society of New York

---

September 1, 2020

Is your congressperson a freethinker? Find out how to find out on page 4. Also herein we ring the tocsin on both authoritarianism and accelerationism (Yes, that's a thing), as well as trigger-happy racist cops and their presidential enabler, lament QAnon and the sacred cow of religion, define politics as laundry, erase some iconic movie one-liners (You talkin' to *me*, Bobby De Niro?), conclude our pursuit of the ancient Jews' pursuit of G-d and, finally, look in on Christianity on Planet Zorch.

But first, how do we get rid of it here? – JR

---

## WHAT ARE THE FOUR CONDITIONS NECESSARY FOR A LESS RELIGIOUS WORLD?

Hemant Mehta

(Excerpted from "New Research Shows the Four Conditions That Lead to a Less Religious Society" at [friendlyatheist.com](http://friendlyatheist.com), 8/25/2020)

A couple of summers ago, I spent a wonderful week working with a group of policy experts, computer programmers, and sociologists and discussing what conditions would create communities with more thoughtful, charitable, and connected atheists.

It wasn't merely some long thought experiment. There was plenty of historical data available that allowed us to gauge whether our theories were accurate. If they were, we could use that information to better calibrate our models and forecast the future. (Thank you, programmers.)

One of the models that came out of that colloquium has resulted in a brand new paper, recently published in the peer-reviewed journal *Secularism and Nonreligion*. The model in question is called the "Future of Religious and Secular Transitions" (FOReST) and the goal was to figure out why and how societies develop that do not embrace the supernatural ... and how that process can work in reverse.

In extremely simplified terms, the researchers pointed to four conditions that led societies to become less religious ("post-supernatural"):

- **Existential security:** You're not worried about war or global annihilation.
- **Formal education:** When more people are trained in the sciences and humanities, they can better grapple with not having all the answers to the biggest questions in life.
- **Individual freedom:** If there's no real punishment for not being religious, people are more likely to embrace non-religiosity.

- **Religious options:** If multiple religions are vying for your affection, it's easier to say no to all of them.

Imagine all four of those conditions as knobs on a panel. If you change the volume, so to speak, on any or all of those, you're going to see societies that embrace or reject religion.

Of course, there are many factors that play a role in people becoming less religious. A changing culture, shifting demographics, financial security, your ability to be around other people and have friends, having internet access, etc. But when it came to religious societies quickly becoming less religious, the four conditions listed above were certainly present.

What the researchers found was that it's rare for a society to have all these conditions working well for a sustained amount of time. However, we have far too many examples of how societies can go wrong due to one or more of those conditions failing. As they write in the synopsis:

*... The model suggests that the conditions for producing widespread rejection of supernatural worldviews are highly specific and difficult to produce and sustain. When those conditions combine, which is historically rare, a stable social equilibrium emerges within which post-supernaturalist worldviews are widespread; however, this equilibrium is easier to disrupt than equilibria whose cohesion is stabilized by supernatural religion due to persistent cognitive tendencies toward supernaturalism in evolved human minds.*

In short, if you want to create a less religious world, then trashing religion isn't the only way to get there. You can work toward peace, economic stability, better access to education, more freedom of thought, etc., and religiosity will eventually decline.

*Comment: In short, it's up to us ... but then, we knew that all along, didn't we? – JR*

---

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Jonathan Engel, *Pres.*; John Wagner, *V.P.*; Claire Miller, *V.P.*; Brian Lemaire, *Secty/Treas.*; John Rafferty, *Editor/Pres. Emeritus*  
Nancy Adelman, Kiwi Callahan, Dorothy Kahn, Carl Marxer, David Orenstein

SHSNY, P.O. Box 7661, F.D.R. Station, New York, NY 10150-7661 / [www.shsny.org](http://www.shsny.org)

Individual membership \$40 per year; Family membership \$65; Subscription only: \$30; Student: \$20.

Articles published in PIQUE are archived at [www.shsny.org](http://www.shsny.org). Original-to-PIQUE articles may be reprinted, in full or in part.

SHSNY is a Charter Chapter of the American Humanist Association (AHA), an Affiliate Member of Atheist Alliance International (AAI), an Affiliated Local Group of the Council for Secular Humanism (CSH) program of the Center for Inquiry (CFI), and an Endorsing Group in the Secular Coalition for New York (SCNY).

## ON THE POLICE SHOOTING OF JACOB BLAKE AND THE NBA PLAYER-LED PROTEST

“I think the most difficult part is to see people still don’t care. For this to just continually happen, it just shows just the hate in people’s hearts. That just sucks. Being a Black man in America is not easy.” – *Dominic Smith, Up-from-the-South-Central-L.A. ghetto to become a NY Mets major leaguer*

“I don’t know much about the NBA protest. I know their ratings have been very bad ... .” – *Donald Trump, born rich, six-times failed businessman*

## THE KKK DID NOT DISBAND, THEY UNIONIZED Michael Stone

(Excerpted from *Progressive Secular Humanist on patheos.com*, 8/27/2020)

A new report demonstrates what many have suspected, white supremacists and militias have infiltrated police forces across the country. *The Guardian* reports: *White supremacist groups have infiltrated US law enforcement agencies in every region of the country over the last two decades, according to a new report about the ties between police and far-right vigilante groups.*

... (The report) concludes that law enforcement officials have been tied to racist militant activities in more than a dozen states, and hundreds of police officers have been caught posting racist and bigoted social media content.

The new report, “Hidden in Plain Sight: Racism, White Supremacy, and Far-Right Militancy in Law Enforcement,” is written by Michael German, a former FBI special agent who has written extensively on the connection between US law enforcement and right-wing domestic terrorist threats. The Summary notes: “The government’s response to known connections of law enforcement officers to violent racist and militant groups has been strikingly insufficient.”

The report also notes the long history connecting white supremacy and law enforcement in the United States:

*White supremacy was central to the founding of the United States, sanctified in law and practice. It was the driving ideology behind the European colonization of North America, the subjugation of Native Americans, and the enslavement of kidnapped Africans and their descendants. Policing in the early American colonies was often less about crime control than maintaining the racial social order, ensuring a stable labor force, and protecting the property interests of the white privileged class. Slave patrols were among the first public policing organizations formed in the American colonies.*

Last week, while police in Portland, Oregon used tear gas on a peaceful “wall of moms”, they took no action when right-wing, Trump-loving, armed and violent Proud Boys staged a riot and attacked counterprotesters.

And last June Oregon police were caught on video collaborating with armed white extremists, warning them to move inside to avoid being arrested before the police began acting against demonstrators protesting the police killing of George Floyd.

## "ACCELERATING" RACISM AND HATRED Ian Allen, Danielle Christmas

(Excerpted from “Donald Trump’s Coded Message to White-Nationalist ‘Accelerationists’”, at *newrepublic.com*, 8/12/2020)

For those who have become acclimated or inured to Donald Trump’s typical blend of incompetence, corruption, and giddy divisiveness, these most recent days of his presidential tenure may not seem altogether distinct from any of the months that preceded them. For those who study the ideas underpinning white nationalism, however, what’s been lately emerging from the White House is a distinct strain of rhetoric known as “accelerationism”, and it’s being heard by a uniquely dangerous faction of America’s far-right fringe.

It might not be enough to save his electoral fortunes. As a new report published by the Southern Poverty Law Center on the far-right rallies of the Trump era notes, “Right-wing extremists have increasingly lost confidence in President Donald Trump,” as well as in the political process more generally. As a result, “they have resorted to more authoritarian means of achieving their political goals”, with accelerationists in particular calling for “violence to speed the process along”. But even if Trump is no longer the darling or savior figure of the far right, his rhetoric is continuing to give accelerationist ideas a prominence they’ve never before enjoyed and is setting the stage for future violence and illiberalism long after he quits the stage.

Originally born of the academic left, “accelerationism” is a loosely coherent set of social theories built on the precept that action can and should be taken to “accelerate” the assumed-to-be inevitable degeneration and collapse of capitalism. Such collapse would then act as a kind of prescribed land burn, prepping the soil for the emergence of a more economically equitable government. Beginning in the 1980s, white supremacist propagandists latched onto the accelerationist story, adding their own dark twist to the final chapter in which the imagined post-collapse government becomes an authoritarian, whites-only ethnostate. ...

Accelerationism is experiencing a renaissance in recent months, and that comes as no surprise to researchers who follow the white nationalist movement. Sparked by the devastation of Covid-19 and fueled by “race war” fantasies stemming from the Black Lives Matter protests, accelerationism seems tailor-made for a moment in which widespread crisis makes it easy to contemplate the idea of a further future collapse.

Trump clearly still sees value in the sort of amped-up racial and militaristic rhetoric that accelerationists crave. In a May 30 tweet, he asserted that if Black Lives Matter protestors had tried to “breach” the White House fence, “they would have been greeted with the most vicious dogs, and most ominous weapons, I have ever seen”. Far from tamping down the disorder, the president is seeking to aggravate and instigate more protests and more confrontations. It’s a distinctly accelerationist calculation that suggests the president is still comfortable going to considerable lengths

to gain the affections of the far-right. Or rather, to try to regain them in the run-up to the fall elections. ...

Many on the extremist right have become disillusioned, if not wholly dismissive, of Trump's base campaign message. The idea that he alone can prevent liberals from destroying the country has been substantially undermined by the widespread perception, borne out of four years of failure, that Trump lacks either the skills or the willpower to actually fulfill that promise. Even Trump's incursions into Portland, by way of Department of Homeland Security irregulars, are seen by most as pure political theater, not a commitment to brutally putting down leftist agitators.

White nationalist leader Richard Spencer ... and others complain that Trump's personal pettiness, scattershot leadership, and perceived lack of ideological purity have undermined their efforts. ... Eric Striker, another influential voice in white supremacist circles, looked ahead to the election: "From now until November, Trump has no choice but to start pulling live white rabbits out of his hat. He can't win without the white nationalist vote, and his campaign from here on out will make this obvious."

## A DANGEROUS PATH FOR AMERICA

Lawrence Shaw

If, in the 1920's one asked what European nation was the most civilized and cultured, Germany would have been a reasonable choice. A decade later Germany was under the Nazis and you know the rest. Is the United States going in a parallel direction with Trump as its leader? In lieu of the irrational Nazis we have a cabal including evangelicals and QAnon who, like the Nazis, are hostile to science and logic. QAnon followers believe that liberals in government and show business are homicidal pedophiles, and one of their members, Marjorie Taylor Greene, is on her way to being elected a member of Congress from Georgia.

This is scary.

"I don't know much about the [QAnon] movement; I understand they like me very much, which I appreciate. I heard these are people that love our country."

— Donald Trump, August 19, 2020

## ABBOTT & COSTELLO & TRUMP

Tom Toles

(Transcribed from the "Non Sequitur" comic strip, 4/21/20)

**Uncle Sam:** You got timely information from W.H.O..

**Trump:** WHO?

**Uncle Sam:** Yes.

**Trump:** Yes WHO?

**Uncle Sam:** Correct.

**Trump:** Correct WHAT?

**Uncle Sam:** Information.

**Trump:** Then WHO's to blame?

**Uncle Sam:** No, you are.

**Trump:** I DON'T CARE!

**Uncle Sam:** He's the President.

## AUTHORITARIANISM

George F. Will

(Excerpted from "Authoritarianism and the Politics of Emotion", *Washingtonpost.com*, 7/31/2020)

Populist authoritarianism derives indispensable fuel from discontented intellectuals who believe, as [historian, author of *Twilight of Democracy* Anne] Applebaum says, "that the wrong people have influence" in the realm of ideas. But as she says, authoritarianism "is a frame of mind, not a set of ideas".

Today it seduces the "radically lonely individual" who finds a sheltering home in an immersive political movement or environment that rejects "the hateful notions of meritocracy, political competition, and the free market, principles that, by definition, have never benefited the less successful". Authoritarianism offers not careers open to talents, but rather the populist promise of upward mobility for those whose political connections and conformity spares them the need "for competition, or for exams, or for a resume bristling with achievements".

Authoritarianism is a temptation for people recoiling against complexity and intellectual pluralism, and yearning for social homogeneity. Applebaum says, "The noise of argument, the constant hum of disagreement — these can irritate people who prefer to live in a society tied together by a single narrative." In today's United States, such authoritarianism flourishes most conspicuously on the left, in the cancel culture's attempts to extinguish rival voices.

The current president is America's misfortune; America's good fortune has been that his mental fidgets disqualify him from mastering the means for authoritarian ends, means that, in any case, would be blocked by the nation's judiciary. Authoritarianism is, however, incubated on America's right among conservatives in the grip of cultural despair.

Applebaum notes that until recently "the most apocalyptic visions of American civilization" festered on the left, among people convinced that capitalism must breed unlovely opulence among the few, immiseration of the many, and alienation of everyone from the dignity of work. Today, however, there is a pandemic of right-wing pessimism, predictions of America's doom unless unambiguously unconstitutional measures are taken to combat secularism.

So far, authoritarian impulses on the left and right are confined to the fever swamps of social media, where, as Applebaum says, "readers and writers feel distant from one another and from the issues they describe, where everyone can be anonymous and no one needs to take responsibility for what they say".

"History," says Applebaum, "suddenly feels circular" in various European regions: "Given the right conditions, any society can turn against democracy. Indeed, if history is anything to go by, all of our societies eventually will." The good news, such as it is, is that a necessary — although not sufficient — precondition for authoritarianism's defeat is what Applebaum's book trenchantly argues for: disbelief in the defeat's inevitability.

## HOW FREETHINKING IS YOUR CONGRESSPERSON?

Hemant Mehta

*(Excerpted from “Atheist Group Releases Scorecard for U.S. House; Many Members Earned Top Scores” at cfequality.org, 8/24/2020)*

The Center for Freethought Equality (the advocacy wing of the American Humanist Association) has publicly released its scorecard for the 116th Congress, grading House members for how they voted on a variety of resolutions and bills dealing with issues like church/state separation, blasphemy laws, faith-based discrimination, science, and more.

You can see your congressional rep’s score (and everyone else’s) by clicking on “US House” when you go to <http://www.cfequality.org/issues/congressionalscorecard/house-116/>

*Comment: My rep, Carolyn Maloney, scored 95 out of 100, which seems pretty good, I guess. But now I have to dig in and find what’s in that missing 5 percent. – JR*

## HOW THE JEWS INVENTED GOD

### Part 3 – Conclusion

Ariel David

*(Excerpted from “How the Jews Invented God, and Made Him Great” on haaretz.com, 6/13/2016)*

*The God of the Jews*

In any case, many scholars agree that Yhwh became the main god of the Jews only after the destruction of the kingdom of Israel by the Assyrians, around 720 BCE.

How or why the Jews came to exalt Yhwh and reject the pagan gods they also adored is unclear.

We do know that after the fall of Samaria, the population of Jerusalem increased as much as fifteenfold, likely due to the influx of refugees from the north. That made it necessary for the kings of Judah to push a program that would unify the two populations and create a common narrative. And that in turn may be why the biblical writers frequently stigmatize the pagan cultic practices of the north, and stress that Jerusalem alone had withstood the Assyrian onslaught – thereby explaining Israel’s embarrassing fall to Assyria, while distinguishing the prominence and purity of Judahite religion.

Religious reforms by Judahite kings, mainly Hezekiah and Josiah, included abolishing random temple worship of Yhwh and centralizing his adoration at the Temple in Jerusalem, as well as banning the worship of Asherah, Yhwh’s female companion, and other pagan cults in the Temple and around the capital.

*The Israelites don’t keep the faith*

This transformation from polytheism to worshipping a single god was carved in stone, literally. For example, an inscription in a tomb near the Judahite stronghold of Lachish, states that “Yhwh is the god of the whole country; the mountains of Judah belong to the god of Jerusalem.”

Josiah’s reforms were also enshrined in the book of

Deuteronomy – whose original version is thought to have been compiled around this time – and especially in the words of Deut. 6, which would later form the Sh’ma Yisrael, one of the central prayers of Judaism: “Hear, O Israel, Yhwh is our God, Yhwh is one.”

But while Yhwh had, by the dawn of the 6th century BCE, become “our” national god, he was still believed to be just one of many celestial beings, each protecting his own people and territory.

This is reflected in the many biblical texts exhorting the Israelites not to follow other gods, a tacit acknowledgement of the existence of those deities, Römer explains.

*For example, in Judges 11:24, Jephthah tries to resolve a territorial dispute by telling the Ammonites that the land of Israel had been given to the Israelites by Yhwh, while their lands had been given to them by their god Chemosh (“Will you not take what your god Chemosh gives you? Likewise, whatever Yhwh our god has given us, we will possess.”)*

### *Snatching God from the jaws of defeat*

The real conceptual revolution probably only occurred after the Babylonians’ conquest of Judah and arson of the First Temple in 587 B.C.E. The destruction and the subsequent exile to Babylon of the Judahite elites inevitably cast doubts on the faith they had put in Yhwh.

“The question was: how can we explain what happened?” Römer says. If the defeated Israelites had simply accepted that the Babylonian gods had proven they were stronger than the god of the Jews, history would have been very different.

But somehow, someone came up with a different, unprecedented explanation. “The idea was that the destruction happened because the kings did not obey the law of god,” Römer says. “It’s a paradoxical reading of the story: the vanquished in a way is saying that his god is the vanquisher. It’s quite a clever idea.

“The Israelites/Judahites took over the classical idea of the divine wrath that can provoke a national disaster but they combined it with the idea that Yhwh in his wrath made the Babylonians destroy Judah and Jerusalem,” he said.

The concept that Yhwh had pulled the Babylonians’ strings, causing them to punish the Israelites inevitably led to the belief that he was not just the god of one people, but a universal deity who exercises power over all of creation.

This idea is already present in the book of Isaiah, thought to be one of the earliest biblical texts, composed during or immediately after the Exile. This is also how the Jews became the “chosen people” – because the Biblical editors had to explain why Israel had a privileged relationship with Yhwh even though he was no longer a national deity, but the one true God.

Over the centuries, as the Bible was redacted, this narrative was refined and strengthened, creating the basis for a universal religion – one that could continue to exist even without being tied to a specific territory or temple. And thus Judaism as we know it was established, and, ultimately, all other major monotheistic religions were as well.

## THE SACRED COW OF RELIGION.

Dennis Middlebrooks

(The following is a letter Dennis wrote in response to an 8/26 New York Post column, "RNC2020 Aims to Present a Softer. Kinder Donald Trump", by neocon John Podhoretz. — JR)

**D**ear Mr. Podhoretz: The notion that religious liberty is under attack in the USA is pure bupkis. Religion is treated as a sacred cow by both parties on the local, state and federal levels. Here in NYC, just consider the endless pandering of our political elites to the Hasidim. Are they better New Yorkers than secular, non-religious people, like me? They are certainly treated as such.

Under Trump and other GOP presidents, in order to be nominated for federal court positions, including SCOTUS, you had better be a conservative white male Christian, preferably Catholic. Try running for public office in the USA as an avowed non-believer or being appointed to a judgeship.

Do you think that reformed bank robber would have been pardoned by Trump if he had become an ardent secular humanist? Prisoners in the USA know that "finding God" is beneficial in getting a pass out of prison. Finding Richard Dawkins and evolution not so.

With a quarter of the U.S. population now claiming to be "non-religious", with the percentage much higher among young voters, the GOP is claiming that faith in God is a prerequisite for patriotism and good citizenship. I watched the RNC and did not hear any acknowledgement of the existence of millions of non-believers and secular citizens. Trump (whom I believe is non-religious, unless worshiping Mammon counts) and the GOP have essentially told this large portion of the population that they are un-American and anti-American. They have in effect kissed this voting bloc goodbye. This may well cost them dearly indeed in November. I certainly hope so.

Dennis Middlebrooks

Member, Secular Humanist Society of New York

## POLY SCI 101, WITH LAUNDRY

(On Facebook, from someone in Benin — JR)

**Capitalism:** Your mum washes your clothes. You pay her a dollar. She complains. You call the cops to say she's rioting.

**Liberalism:** You watch your mum do the washing and feel really, really bad. "Something must be done," you say. Something may or may not get done.

**Socialism:** Your mum does the washing. You do the cooking. Theoretically, everyone is happy.

**Communism:** Your mum does the washing. You do the washing. Every night you both salute a photo of your dad.

**Fascism:** Your mum does the washing in fear of her life.

**Libertarianism:** Your mum does the washing. You believe you did it.

**H** sapiens is the species that invents symbols in which to invest passion and authority, then forgets that symbols are inventions. — Joyce Carol Oates

## CLASSIC MOVIE LINES RUINED BY CORONAVIRUS

(Excerpted, revised from Thedailymash.co.uk, 8/17/2020)

**T**hese movie quotes used to be perfect in so many situations, but now they've been so royally upstaged by coronavirus that they can never be used again:

*We'll always have Paris.*

Now amended to "Paris doesn't want us anymore, and we'd never be able to go to anyway because everyone's wearing masks, the restaurants are hives of Covid and we'd have to quarantine for weeks when we get back."

*I'll be back.*

Arnie's famous line from "The Terminator" no longer works for your boss, your colleagues or the owners of the deli next door to your office. Or, shortly, your job.

*Keep your friends close but your enemies closer.*

This advice from "The Godfather Part Two" is contrary to social distancing. What if your enemies sneeze on you?

*You talking to me?*

Robert DeNiro's line from "Taxi Driver" is now said unironically five or six thousand times a day by people holding conversations with other people wearing cheap blue face masks.

*There's no place like home!*

If you've spent most of calendar year 2020 staring at the same four walls you'd advise Dorothy that Oz ain't that bad. Sure, it's run by a crazed dictator and thinly-veiled fraud, but where isn't?

## CHRISTIANITY ON PLANET ZORCH IN THE WEEJUMS NEBULA



Saturday Morning Breakfast Cereal by Zach Weinersmith, 8/25/2020

# SHSNY CALENDAR: AUGUST – NOVEMBER 2020

## FICTION BOOK CLUB ONLINE

The SHSNY Fiction Book Club meets online via Zoom, and will continue so for the duration of our enforced isolation.

To be included and notified of each meeting's link and password, email your address to Sharon Krutzel at [sharonkrutzel@rcn.com](mailto:sharonkrutzel@rcn.com)

### TUESDAY, SEPT 8, 7:00 pm **ALOYSIUS THE GREAT** John Maxwell O'Brien

By Joyce scholar, author of *Alexander the Great: The Invisible Enemy*, and PIQUE subscriber O'Brien, *Aloysius* is now available in paper and e-formats at Amazon.

It's a romp of a novel of sex, ambition, pub crawls and whip-smart dialogue – what the Irish call *craic* (say "crack"), conversation as art, for the sheer fun of it.

### TUESDAY, OCT 13, 7:00 pm **AMERICAN DIRT** Jeanine Cummins

Stephen King calls it "extraordinary", and this *NYTimes* best-selling story of a comfortable, middle-class Mexican family caught up in the flight to *el Norte* and away from drug violence has been called "the international story of our times". *Hardcover, Kindle.*

### TUESDAY, NOV 10, 7:00 pm **THE THIRST** Jo Nesbo

Harry Hole is one of crime fiction's greatest cops ever, but after promising the woman he loves he's through, something about the killer who targets his victims on Tinder pulls Harry back to the chase in this eleventh novel in the series. *Paperback, Kindle.*

## HUMANIST BOOK CLUB ONLINE

We continue online for the duration of our social distancing. Harry French will send the linking codes for the Zoom meetings. Send your address to:

[htfrench46@gmail.com](mailto:htfrench46@gmail.com)  
Meanwhile, do the reading ...

### THURS, SEPT 3, 7:00 pm **SURVIVING AUTOCRACY** Masha Gessen

As seen on MSNBC Morning Joe and heard on NPR All Things Considered: the bestselling, National Book Award-winning journalist offers an essential guide to understanding, resisting, and recovering from Trump and the ravages of our tumultuous times.

"When Gessen speaks about autocracy, you listen."  
– *The New York Times*

### THURS, OCTOBER 1, 7:00 pm **TWILIGHT OF DEMOCRACY: The Seductive Lure of Authoritarianism** Anne Applebaum

Thought-provoking and gracefully written, this is the book – by a Pulitzer-Prize winning historian – to read for "insight into what Trump's rise and rule really mean – here and abroad – for democracy in our time".

– Ron Elving, NPR

## THIRD THURSDAY GROUP Temporarily on hold

Our Secular Humanist Political Support Group via Zoom ... for lively discussion of whatever is currently of interest to us, is temporarily on hold due to family emergencies.

Stay tuned right here.

## HUMANIST HAPPY HOUR ONLINE

### SUNDAY, AUG 30, 5:00 pm

Let's get together even when we can't get together, via Zoom. Get comfortable at your computer with your beverage and/or snack of choice – and join 15, 20, or more of your fellow humanists in our Humanist Happy Hour!

Zoom in at

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/9806344432?pwd=c0NrNUoweDVGWHo2ditvYmJlVjVlGdz09>  
Meeting ID: 980 634 4432

Passcode: SHSny

Join by Skype for Business

[https://us02web.zoom.us/skype/9806344432](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/skype/9806344432)

## MONDAY MOVIES

### MONDAY, AUG 31, 8:00 pm

We'll discuss *Hal Ashby's*

### **BEING THERE**

Satire at its most trenchant when simple-minded gardner Chance (the brilliant Peter Sellers), whose only interest is television ("I like to watch"), becomes "Gardner", the toast of high-society Washington.

With Melvyn Douglas and Shirley Maclaine, this 1979 comedy is based on a Jerzy Kosinski novel and rentable for \$3.99 at Amazon. Rent, watch (don't miss the outtakes over the credits), and then ...

Zoom in August 31 at 8:00 at:

<https://zoom.us/j/98249657436?pwd=NVhpSGRQNk5qbNRRRFdhNG9PYk1pUT09>

<https://zoom.us/j/98249657436?pwd=NVhpSGRQNk5qbNRRRFdhNG9PYk1pUT09>

Meeting ID: 982 4965 7436

Passcode: 519614

## LIKE SHSNY ON FACEBOOK

<https://www.facebook.com/SHSofNY>

## MEET US ON MEETUP

[www.meetup.com/shsny-org/](http://www.meetup.com/shsny-org/)

## TEXT US ON TWITTER

@SHS\_NewYork